

Addendum # 8

RFP # 2011/L002 - LNG Floating Storage & Regasification Terminal

(8 February 2012)

Section III, Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, of the Request for Proposal (RFP) noted above is hereby modified in its entirety with the following:

#	Criteria	Maximum Points
1.0	<i>Bidder Qualifications:</i> <i>Bids will be evaluated on the bidder's previous experience with similar projects to the SRT proposed. Bidders should provide sufficient documentation to substantiate their claims of experience.</i>	10
1.1	Bidder can demonstrate financing capacity and experience in the planning, design AND operation of an SRT consisting of similar project elements to that which is proposed.	10
1.2	Bidder can demonstrate financing capacity and experience in the planning, design OR operation of similar LNG project elements to that which is proposed.	7
1.3	Bidder can demonstrate financing capacity and experience in the planning, design OR operation of petro-chemical projects of similar complexity to that which is proposed.	4
1.4	Bidder cannot sufficiently demonstrate financing capacity OR relevant experience.	0
2.0	<i>Project Cost</i> <i>Project Cost will be evaluated on the proposed unit costs based on a throughput of Two and a Half million tonnes per annum (2.5 MTPA) including the Demand Charge, Commodity Charge and Barge Charge as stated in attached Term Sheet, including any LNG consumed or lost during the operation of the SRT.</i>	40
2.1	The proposal with the lowest overall Project Cost.	40
2.2	Proposals with evaluated Project Cost equal to or lower than the median of the Project Cost of all responsive proposals.	25
2.3	Proposals with evaluated Project Cost higher than the median of the Project Cost of all responsive proposals.	10

#	Criteria	Maximum Points
3.0	<p>Base-Case Terminal Design</p> <p><i>Construction of a Floating Storage & Regasification Terminal consistent with the requirements of Section 2.2 and 2.9 of the Basis of Design (Attachment 2 of the RFP), namely, a near-shore, double-berth jetty, using industry-standard hard unloading arms. For clarity, “near-shore” shall mean, a jetty location between the shoreline and the submerged reefs near the Port Esquivel pier. The Bidders may choose to propose alternative mooring arrangement/terminal designs, subject to compliance with design requirements detailed in the Basis of Design and positive cost-benefit analysis relative to the base case option. In addition, in the event an alternative mooring arrangement is proposed, the Bidder must demonstrate that the ‘super major’ LNG Suppliers, particularly those active in the region, will submit to mooring their LNGC vessels at the facility.</i></p>	7.5
3.1	Proposals consistent with the “base case” design contained in Section 2.2 and 2.9 of the Basis of Design.	7.5
3.2	Proposals that are not consistent with the “base case” design contained in Section 2.2 and 2.9 of the Basis of Design nor demonstrate acceptability in their terminal design by ‘super major’ LNG Suppliers active in region.	0
4.0	<p>Execution Schedule</p> <p><i>Project schedule will be evaluated on the responsiveness to the project start date. Bidders should substantiate their proposed schedule with enough detail in the Project Execution Plan to ensure all proposed major project milestones are included</i></p>	15
4.1	Proposal with a firm start date for first gas delivery by 30 September 2014.	15
4.2	Proposal with a firm start date for first gas delivery in Q4 2014.	5
4.3	Proposal with a firm start date for first gas delivery after Q4 2014 or does not provide a schedule.	0
5.0	<p>Supply Logistics</p> <p><i>Proposals will be evaluated on their responsiveness to the Basis of Design document which has been provided. Parameters to be considered are: offloading rate, storage capacity, range of LNGC’s which can call on the facility, weather availability.</i></p>	10
5.1	Proposals that materially meet all specified requirements in the	10

#	Criteria	Maximum Points
	BOD and propose LNG storage volumes greater than 145,000m ³ .	
5.2	Proposals that meet all specified requirements in the BOD but propose LNG storage volumes between 125,000m ³ and 145,000m ³ .	5
5.3	Proposals that do not materially meet all specified requirements in the BOD.	0
6.0	<i>Maturity of Technology</i> <i>Proposals will be evaluated on the maturity of the proposed technology, including the number of commercially and operationally successful installations and applications.</i>	10
6.1	Proposals that utilize proven operational technologies and an availability of 99.9% for all major systems.	10
6.2	Proposals that rely on proven but not widely operated technology or an assessed availability of at least 99.8%.	5
6.3	Proposals that rely on unproven technology.	0
7.0	<i>Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)</i> <i>Proposals that can demonstrate specific support for the securing of carbon credits under the Kyoto Protocol.</i>	2.5
7.1	Proposals responsive to CDM requirements.	2.5
7.2	Proposals that are not responsive to CDM requirements.	0
8.0	<i>Local Capacity Building</i> <i>Proposals that can demonstrate specific support for capacity building and the use of local Jamaican personnel.</i>	5
8.1	Proposals that include local capacity building and use of local Jamaican personnel in their operations.	5
8.2	Proposals that do not include local capacity building and use of local Jamaican personnel in their operations.	0
	<i>Maximum Points</i>	100